

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,254	1	07/11/2003	Matthew Dubin	H17-26023-01	3135
128	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
		NTERNATIONA	JUBA JR, JOHN		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRI	MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			2872	
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,254	DUBIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Juba, Jr.	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18,38-49,60-73 and 98-122 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18,60-73,98-112 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 38-40,45 and 47-49 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 41-44,46,113 and 114 is/are objected.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>d 115-122</u> is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 18, 60-73, 98-112, and 115-122 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 12, 2004.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required:

On Page 13, line 2, it is believed that "LCD 512" should read LCD 511 (as described on Pg. 12, line 3; "512" is a lens, as described on Pg. 12, line 4).

On Page 13, line 4, it is believed that "screen 520" should read "screen 550", described as being substantially coincident with second beam splitter 540 (as described on Pg. 12, line 10, 520 is a first beam splitter).

#### Claim Objections

Claim 39 is objected to for the following informality. Appropriate correction is required:

In claim 39, the characterization of a light beam as having a single polarization mode is a misnomer. Any polarization state is inherently resolvable into two, mutually perpendicular polarization components. Rather, the beam may be distinguished from a beam comprising a random collection of polarization states as being "polarized".

Alternatively, the beam may be recited as having a polarization state parallel to one of the pass or rejection axes of the first or second polarizer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 48 is confusing or incorrect in reciting that "the pass and rejection axes of the first beam splitter correspond respectively to the rejection and pass axes of the first beam splitter" [emphasis added]. It is believed that the correspondence is between axes of the first and second beam splitters. Otherwise, it is not clear how the pass axis of a polarizer can "correspond" with its own rejection axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 45, 47, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kelly (U.S. Patent number 2,985,258). Referring to Figure 3 and the associated text, Kelly discloses a method for projecting an image, comprising the steps of

Application/Control Number: 10/618,254 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

(a) transmitting an incident beam from a source through a pass axis of a first polarizing beam splitter (P1);

- (b) reflecting the beam from a rejection axis of a second polarizing beam splitter (P2) positioned nonorthogonally with respect to the first beam splitter;
  - (c) reflecting and repolarizing the beam;
- (d) reflecting the beam from a rejection axis of the first polarizing beam splitter; and
- (e) transmitting the beam through a pass axis of the second polarizing beam splitter to a screen (S) via lens system (26);

wherein the Kelly performs the steps in the order of:

beginning with light directly from the source (I)(21) to perform step (b); modulating the light at modulator (M), thereafter to perform step (a); modulating the light at modulator (C); performing step (d); perform the step (c) at modulator (Y); and performing step (e).

With particular regard to claim 49, the beam splitters are positioned at zero degrees with respect to each other, which is and angle less than ninety degrees. Although Applicants refer to their beam splitters as being at an "acute, non-parallel angle" (Pg. 12, line 26), the language of the claims is not so precise.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38 – 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukawa (U.S. Patent number 6,331,916), in view of Official notice (as evidenced by Mukawa). Referring *initially* to the embodiment of Figure 16, Mukawa discloses an optical device comprising

a first polarizing beam splitter (63) *inherently* having first pass and rejection axes, and positioned to receive an incident beam;

a second beam splitter (67) positioned at an acute angle to the first beam splitter; and

a reflector (68) positioned so that both beam splitters encounter the beam at least twice.

Further, Mukawa discloses that the second beam splitter (67) may be a polarizing beam splitter, and that a quarter wave plate may be provided (Col. 23, lines 18 – 20). Thus, Mukawa discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Mukawa does not disclose *in this illustrated embodiment* the particular placement of the quarter wave to provide a "repolarizing reflector", and do not disclose the pass and rejection axes of the first polarizing beam splitter aligned respectively to the rejection and pass axes of the second polarizing beam splitter, as recited.

The examiner takes Official notice that the requisite arrangement of pass and rejection axes of a polarizing beam splitter and the placement of a repolarizing reflector in order to permit light to be twice incident on a polarizing beam splitter were well-known to those skilled in the art. Referring for example to Figure 15 of Mukawa, it was known to arrange a quarter wave plate (56) in front of reflector (58) so that light having its polarization aligned to a rejection axis of polarizer (57) is travels twice through the wave plate so as to have its polarization aligned with the pass axis of the polarization beam splitter (57) when it is incident at the polarizing beam splitter for the second time.

In modifying the embodiment of Figure 16 to have a second polarizing beam splitter and a quarter wave plate as suggested by Mukawa, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to arrange the quarter wave plate between the beam splitter (67) and the reflector (68), in the interest of permitting light having it polarization state aligned to the rejection axis of the polarizing beam splitter (67) on the first pass to have its polarization rotated so as to pass through the polarizer (67) on the second pass, since such was well-known to be the requisite arrangement of components to provide the folded operation taught by Mukawa. Further, in order that the apparatus would continue to operate as disclosed by Mukawa while using only the single suggested wave plate, one of ordinary skill would have appreciated that it was necessary to have the rejection axis of the second polarizer correspond to the pass axis of the first polarizer, and *vice versa*.

With regard to claim 39, the beam incident at prism (60-1) is rendered with a single polarization mode by polarizer (17).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,254

Art Unit: 2872

With regard to claim 40, convex refracting surface (62) fairly constitutes a "focusing element" for the beam incident on the display (20).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 41 – 44, 46, 113, and 114 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 48 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest the combination of elements,

particularly wherein the apparatus further includes a folding mirror reflecting the beam to the first beam splitter, as recited in claim 41;

particularly wherein the apparatus further includes a projection screen positioned to receive the beam after it has encountered both of the beam splitters twice, as recited in claim 42; or

particularly wherein the repolarizing reflector is positioned "non-diagonally" with respect to at least one of the beam splitters, as recited in claim 44.

The prior art, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest the combination of method steps

Application/Control Number: 10/618,254

Art Unit: 2872

or

particularly wherein the steps are performed in the order recited, as in claim 46;

particularly wherein the pass and rejection axes of the first beam splitter correspond respectively to the rejection and pass axes of the second beam splitter, as it is believed would be recited in claim 48.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

All of the references cited during prosecution of parent application serial number 09/751,339 have been considered. However, unless they are listed on examiner's form PTO-892, applicant's form PTO-1449, or equivalent, they will not be listed on the face of any patent issuing from the instant application.

Handschy, et al (U.S. Patent number 5,900,976) discloses a method of using a repolarizing reflector to direct light to non-orthogonally arranged polarizing beam splitters for incidence at each beam splitter twice (Fig. 6).

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Juba whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2314. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Drew Dunn whose number is (571) 272-2312 and who can be reached on Mon.- Thu., 9-5.

The centralized fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for *all* communications.

JOHN JUBA, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2872